1 JOHN F. MURTHA, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 835 WOODBURN AND WEDGE Sierra Plaza 6100 Neil Road, Ste. 500 Post Office Box 2311 Reno, Nevada 89505 Telephone: (775) 688-3000 Attorneys for Appellee Angelique L.M. Clark, Chapter 11 Trustee 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 12 3:11-cv-00509-ECR-CWH 13 3:11-CV-00560-ECR-CWH in re: 14 FRENCH QUARTER, INC. 15 Debtor. Appeal from BK-N-07-51126-GWZ 16 17 JAMES A PIEPRZYCA, ORDER GRANTING 18 STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME Appellant. 19 BY WHICH APPELLEE ANGELIQUE L.M. CLARK MUST FILE HER 20 VS. **RESPONSIVE BRIEF AND ORDER** 21 (FIRST EXTENSION) EUGENE C. CANEPA; UST - UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, RENO; ANGELIQUE 22 L.M. CLARK, Trustee; VAL PETERSON; OSCAR RENTERIA, Trustee of the Renteria 23 Family Trust, 24 Appellees. 25 26 This Stipulation Extending Time by Which Appellee Angelique L.M. Clark Must 27 File her Responsive Brief and Order is entered into by and between John F. Murtha, 28

Esq. on behalf of Appellee Angelique L.M. Clark ("Clark") and Bradley E. Brook, Esq.

on behalf of Appellant James A. Pieprzyca ("Pieprzyca") and is based upon the following facts and circumstances:

- Pieprzyca filed his opening brief herein on September 20, 2011 (Docket No. 21) followed by an amended opening brief filed on September 23, 2011 (Docket No. 22).
- 2. Pursuant to FRBP 8009(a)(2), Clark's responsive brief is due 14 days after service of Pieprzyca's opening brief (October 7, 2011, if measured from September 20, 2011, or October 11, 2011, if measured from September 23, 2011).
 - 3. In either event, Clark will need more time to file her responsive brief.
- 4. There is a related appeal involving the same order upon which this appeal is based pending before this Court captioned "Canepa v. Pieprzyca, et. al." (Case No. 3:11-CV-509-ECR-CWH). By order entered in that case on September 2, 2011 (Docket No. 19 in that case), appellant Canepa's opening brief was due on September 19, 2011 (and it was filed then), and Clark's responsive brief is due on October 19, 2011.
- 5. It makes sense for Clark's briefs to be filed at the same time in both appeals.
- 6. This is Clark's first request for an extension of time to file her responsive brief.

III

 $\| \| \| \| \|$

g|| ///

l	
1	Now, therefore, it is hereby agreed by the undersigned counsel of record that
2	
3	Clark's responsive brief shall be filed on or before October 19, 2011.
4	Dated this 27 day of September, 2011.
5	WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
6	Reno, Nevada 89511
7	\mathcal{A}
8	By: John F. Murtha, Esq.
9	Attorneys for Appellee
10	Angelique L.M. Clark
11	Dated this <u>26</u> day of September, 2011.
12	LAW OFFICES OF BRADLEY E. BROOK, APC 11500 W. Olympic Blvd.,,Suite 400
13	Los Angeles, California 90064
14	D 2/
15	By:Bradley E. Brook, Esq.
16	Attorneys for Appellant James A. Pieprzyca
17	Janio 7, 1 iopizyou
18	ORDER
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	Date: October 6, 2011. Edward C. Keed.
21	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26	
27	
28	3
- 1	j o